

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Colligan
Given Name	Kristina
Person ID	1287464
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Colligan
Given Name	Kristina
Person ID	1287464
Title	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Main reason being the fact that this is first and foremost Green belt land and as such is protected by the national planning policy.</p> <p>Brownfield site prioritisation should be considered.</p> <p>There is no need for 450 large, expensive homes in Bamford.</p> <p>The site is not consistent with sustainable development and NPPF chapter 13.</p> <p>Personally I am concerned that the houses would create a further 900 cars joining Norden Road. An already extremely busy and very narrow road. What would this mean for air quality.</p> <p>We have no train or metro stations so it would be foolish to think people will not use their cars, even for short journeys.</p> <p>Where will all the children attend school. This makes the plan not consistent with NPPF chapter 8 (paragraph 95) with the site failing to comply with PfE objective 9.</p> <p>I am also concerned for my areas nature and wildlife, which should be preserved for future generations.</p> <p>You will destroy the habits of hundreds of species. Hiw will you guarantee the protection of newts voles, bats, hedgehogs?</p> <p>Again the site will fail to comply with PfE objective 8.</p> <p>It seems like it's just about making more as executive housing on a large scale will attract money.</p> <p>The inclusion of Bamford in these plans means that houses will be built on Greenbelt land so prioritising it over brownfield sites.</p> <p>This is not consistent with sustainable development.</p> <p>There are other options and thus site is not justified.</p>

**Redacted modification
- Please set out the
modification(s) you
consider necessary to
make this section of the
plan legally compliant
and sound, in respect
of any legal compliance
or soundness matters
you have identified
above.**

The removal of JPA 19 Bamford/Norden from the PfE